Fall 2021 Comprehensive Program and Area Review (PAR):

Academic Programs

Dear Chabot Community,

Welcome to Fall 2021! This is the electronic template for the **Academic Programs Fall 2021 Comprehensive Program and Area Review (PAR).** We encourage you to work together with your program or service area to complete these questions collaboratively. One way to facilitate real-time collaboration is to upload these questions into a google doc. Please submit your completed template with attachments to your Dean/Manager by **10/11/21**. Your Dean/Manager will provide you with feedback. After you receive their feedback, you will then enter the information from your template (and attachments) into Qualtrics by **10/25/21**. Importantly, your <u>PAR is NOT complete until you submit your responses on Qualtrics</u>.

Please reach out to the PAR shared governance committee if you have any questions about filling out your Fall 2021 PAR! Co-Chairs: Deonne Kunkel Wu <u>dkunkelwu@chabotcollege.edu</u> and Cynthia Gordon da Cruz <u>cgordondacruz@chabotcollege.edu</u>.

Background Information:	
 What organizational unit does your program/area belong to? 	
X Academic Services Administrative Services Student Services Office of the President	
 Name of your Program, Discipline, Area or Service: 	
American Sign Language	
 Name(s) of the person or people who contributed to this review: Arturo Lopez Yanez What division does your Program/Area reside in? Academic Pathways and Student Success Applied Technology and Business Arts, Media, and Communication 	
Counseling Health, Kinesiology and Athletics X Language Arts Science and Mathematics Social Sciences Special Programs	

Status of Program Goals from Prior Comprehensive PAR Cycle

- Please refer to the goals/new initiatives you established in the last comprehensive PAR cycle. The last comprehensive PAR was written in Fall 2017 to plan for 2018-19; 19-20; and 20-21. If you need a reminder of your goals, you can access them in the PAR App Program Review Reports. Click on:
 - PAR App Program Review Reports.

- Then "Select Academic Year" on the top (choose 2018-19)
- Then "Submissions" (in the left hand toolbar)
- Then find your area and click "View" in the right most column
- For **Academic Areas**, find question 8: "Reflecting on your answers to questions 1-7, what are your top goals (no more than 5) for the next three years?"
- For **Service Areas**, find question 8: "Reflecting on your answer to questions 1-7, what new initiatives (no more than 5) do you propose for the next three years?"
- For **Administrative Areas**, find question 9: "Reflecting on your answers to questions 1-8, what are your top goals (no more than 5) for the next three years?"

You should be able to view the goals you submitted in the last comprehensive PAR, which was written in Fall 2017 to plan for the three-year cycle starting in 2018-19. Please note that the "goals" you established are distinct from the outcomes for your service area (SAOs) or program area (PLOs). In general, SAOs and PLOs tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service/program, whereas the goals for a comprehensive PAR year are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs and PLOs. For example, one of the Learning Connection's SAOs is: "Students from diverse backgrounds and with diverse learning needs will receive tutoring that improves their abilities to complete assignments, study, and/or succeed in their courses." This is an enduring aim that is unlikely to change from PAR cycle to PAR cycle. A PAR goal for the Learning Connection might be to refine the student diversity and antiracist tutoring practices training for incoming tutors. This goal is specific, expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and supports their SAO (if incoming tutors are trained in antiracist tutoring practices, they will be well-equipped to support students with diverse learning needs).

Goal from Previous Cycle	Status of Goal	Outputs or measures (e.g students served, program change made, etc.) Please explain.
Increase the number of students in ASL 66 to stand alone as a class instead of being combined with ASL 65.	Achieved In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Enrollment data (still suggests that we need to increase throughput between levels in ESL.
2. Create a one-unit lab, which will consist of an online component where students can practice as a group and/or independently.	Achieved In Progress X Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	
3. Create4-semester pathway for students to earn an AA degree in SL for transfer.	Achieved In Progress X Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	
4. Hire a second full time faculty member and (re)balance Arturo's workload.	Achieved In Progress X Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	
5. Give the full time faculty members more professional learning opportunities.	Achieved X In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	The American Sign Language Teachers Association's 2022 conference was canceled, but our faculty should be funded for the planned in-person conference in 2023.

Learning Outcomes Assessment Results

SLO:

Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs): SLOs are the outcomes that instructors aim for students to successfully reach by the end of a course. SLOs should be established for each course, listed in CurricUNET, displayed on all course syllabi, and assessed in CurricUNET on a 5-Year cycle. The following questions are about SLO assessment.

	How many courses in your discipline have SLOs developed and listed in CurricUNET? X All courses Almost all or most courses About half of the courses A few courses No courses
If any	y courses do not have SLOs, please explain why.
• H	How many courses in your discipline have rubrics (or some other form of assessment) developed to measure SLOs? All coursesAlmost all or most coursesAbout half of the coursesA few coursesX_No courses
If any	y courses do not have rubrics to measure SLOs, please explain why.
-	How many courses in your discipline had their SLOs assessed and recorded in CurricUNET in the 5-year cycle? All courses Almost all or most courses About half of the courses A few courses X_ No courses
If an <u>y</u>	y courses were not assessed in the five-year cycle, please explain why.
_	Assessing SLOs has led to improvements in my area. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree X Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Strongly agree

PLOs:

Certificate and Degree programs also establish and assess **Program Learning Outcomes** (PLOs). PLOs are the outcomes students should successfully reach when they complete all the requirements for a certificate or degree program. PLOs are also assessed in CurricUNET on a 5-year cycle.

 Were all Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) assessed in the 5-year cycle in CurricUNET? Yes, all PLOs were assessed in the 5-year cycle. Almost all PLOs were assessed in the 5-year cycle. No, many PLOs were not assessed in the 5-year cycle. 	
If any PLOs were not assessed in the five-year cycle, please explain why.	
 Assessing PLOs has led to improvements in my area. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Strongly agree 	
Institutional Supports and Barriers	
Reflect on your experiences, data, and/or previous program reviews and consider what work in your disciplinarea you are most proud of and what problems remain a major challenge. Then respond to the following questions are a solutions of the control of the contr	
 What institutional-level supports or practices were particularly helpful to your program or area in reach Goals, SLOs, PLOs, SAOs, and/or the college mission? Responsive scheduling in terms of modality and time. 	ing its PAR
 What institutional-level barrier or challenges prevented or hindered your program or area from reaching Goals, SLOs, PLOs, SAOs, and/or the college mission? Lack of partnerships and missed opportunities to embed SL in larger projects like Guided Pathway to College, Inability of students to earn a degree or certificate in SL, Lack of Professional Learning Opportunities on Deaf Culture, Hyflex Teaching, Critical Pedagogies for online teaching , In number of faculty to grow the program 	ys, Gateway
 What institutional-level supports or practices do employees in your program/area believe are particularly students in reaching their educational milestones and/or goals? (i.e., from your vantage point, what does for students that we should keep doing?) ZTC, Laptop/Hotspot Loan Program, Responsive Scheduling 	
• What institutional-level barriers or challenges do employees in your program/area believe are a hindrance in reaching their educational milestones and/or goals? (i.e., from your vantage point, what does Chabot do should stop doing or change to better support our students?) Stop charging students for parking, more hybrid and late-start classes, embed SL in moinstitutional programs and pathways, stop divestment from language lab, hire in-house interpreters providers have been unreliable at best—we would love to have interpreters who are part of the Chalcommunity and who understand the context of teaching and learning at Chabot.	o that we ore s (our

Academic Programs/Disciplines Data

In order to reach Chabot's mission, the college looks at the following outcome metrics to evaluate previous program success and plan for the future. Some outcomes will be more applicable to particular programs in specific PAR cycles; please look at the data available on the outcomes that are most relevant to your program and use it to answer the following questions:

FTES and Enrollment

FTES is an enrollment metric. It basically converts the total number of units students are taking in a given timeframe (e.g., semester, academic year, etc.) into the equivalent number of full-time students that would be needed to generate this same number of units. Colleges are funded based on the FTES they generate (both historically and now as the "Base Allocation" in the Student Centered Funding Formula). Please check out the Chabot College Enrollment Management Data Dashboard to respond to the questions below. The data in this section will be given to the Chabot Enrollment Management Committee (CEMC) to support their work.

• Over the past 3 years, in comparison to the overall FTES trends of the college, FTES in your discipline have:
Decreased in comparison to the overall college trends
X Stayed roughly the same in comparison to overall college trends
Increased in comparison to overall college trends
Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand these trends (e.g., tangible reasons for the increase or decrease). NA

• As noted above, enrollments impact our funding. Please review the courses in your discipline in the <u>Chabot College Enrollment Management Data Dashboard</u>: are there specific courses/sections that, on average, across the past three years did not fill to capacity? Why might this be?

The higher levels of SL struggle with productivity, partially because the caps are so high. Students might also be less inclined to continue in the pathway, since there's no certificate available.

• Is there anything faculty in your area would consider doing to improve overall discipline productivity* while maintaining our commitment to student learning? (e.g., taking additional students in sections with higher fill rates or changing the days/times or format—in-person, hybrid, online—of low fill-rate classes, etc.)

*productivity=(FTES or WSCH)/FTEF or the number of full time students or weekly student contact hours per full time faculty member

We already cut SL 64 from 4 sections to 3 sections, which helps our immediate productivity, but will not help fill the later courses on down the line. Our SL faculty need institution-wide support in becoming embedded in larger programing and resource initiatives (gateway to college, guided pathways, tutorial services, etc.)

• Are there any classes in your discipline which routinely fill to capacity and for which there is often a waitlist? If yes, please list here.

We are currently "right-sized" for SL 64, and we have work to do to increase throughput/enrollment throughout the pathway.

Enrollment Disaggregations:

Enrollments* can be disaggregated by race and ethnicity, gender, etc.

*Enrollments are the total number of class enrollments/seats in a given time period. A student enrolled in multiple courses increases the count for each of those courses. This is a count of *seats filled*, not a count of persons filling them.

Take a look at disaggregation of your enrollments by race and ethnicity (and/or by gender) over the past three years on the Chabot College Course Enrollments and Success Rates Dashboard. Consider how the representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups in your program compares to the typical makeup of your discipline, field, or industry (and/or for disciplines with large percentages of General Education enrollments—like English, math and communication studies—consider how the representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups in your major courses compare to your discipline, field, or industry).

•	The representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups in our discipline/major
	compared to our industry/field:
	could be improved.
	X is just right.

is outstanding - we are increasing the diversity of the field.
For disciplines with a high percentage of offerings that are required for General Education—such as English, math, or communication studies—please also compare the representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups/disproportionately impacted groups (DI Groups) in your general education classes to the overall student body population. • DI Groups in our general education classes: are underrepresented in comparison to their representation in the student body. Not applicable, our discipline does not have high enrollments in general education classes.
Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand these trends (e.g., tangible reasons to understand the representation of DI groups in your general education classes at Chabot).
Non-Credit ■ Does your program/area offer non-credit classes? YesNo
 Over the next 3 years, non-credit course offerings in our program/area are planned to: Decrease X Stay the same as they are now Increase
<u>Course success rates</u> Refer to the <u>Chabot College Course Enrollments and Success Rates Dashboard.</u>
 Over the past three years, how have course success rates in your discipline changed? Course success rates have: Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased
Use the Chabot College Course Enrollments and Success Rates Dashboard to disaggregate your course success rates. Do any populations jump out to you as disproportionately impacted (succeeding at lower rates than students from other racial/ethnic, gender groups, or the overall college average)? • Check all groups that are disproportionately impacted (succeeding at lower rates than students from other racial/ethnic, gender groups, or the overall college average): X African American/ Black Asian American/ Asian X Filipino/x X Latinx/ Chicanx Native American/ Alaska Native Pacific Islander/ Hawaiian White/ European American Female Male

(Comment/Explain) Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand the trends in overall course success rates or disproportionate impacts in course success rates for any student group: These trends reflect the broader trends of the institution and reflect a need for increased professional learning for faculty and increased support for students. The Office of Institutional Research strives to continually improve representation in our data. Currently, we have a dashboard on course enrollments and success rates, which can be disaggregated by race/ethnicity, gender, and parttime/full-time status. What other student group(s) would you like to be able to disaggregate by in the dashboard? How will this disaggregation promote Chabot's mission? (Please keep in mind we will need to build further disaggregation into the dashboard over time and we will work in the order that is possible to do based on data availability and for which there is the most interest in Chabot campus community.) Program completion (AD-Ts, AA/AS, Chancellor-approved Certificates) Take a look at the IR report on Degrees by Discipline. Over the past 3 years, what is the trend in Degrees awarded (AD-Ts and AA/AS) in your program(s)? Decreased \overline{X} Stayed roughly the same Increased Take a look at the IR report on **Chancellor-Approved** Certificates by Discipline. OIR now has two separate certificate reports: Chancellor Approved Certificates by Discipline and All Certificates by Discipline. • Over the past 3 years, what is the trend in **Chancellor-Approved** certificates awarded in your program(s)? Decreased **X** Stayed roughly the same Increased Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand these trends in degree and certificate completion. (e.g., tangible reasons for the increase or decrease). If your area does not produce a lot of degrees or Chancellor-approved certificates, is there an associated industry test for which you are preparing students or non-Chancellor-approved certificates? If you have any data on success rates or numbers for the industry certification/test or for non-Chancellor-approved certificates, please share. (Optional)

What barriers make it difficult for students to complete your program? Are there any barriers that could be

disproportionately experienced by students from a particular demographic group (e.g., racial/ethnic, age, disability

Staffing Analysis

status, parents, etc.)

In this section you will analyze trends in staffing, technology, and facilities.

Staffing	Current # (Fall 2021)	How has staffing for this group changed in the last 3 years (decrease, flat, increase)	
Full-time Faculty	1	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased	
Part-time Faculty	1	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased	
Full-time Classified Professionals	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased	
Part-Time Permanent or Hourly Classified Professionals	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased	
Student Employees	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased	
Independent Contractors/Professional Experts	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased	
changes in staffing in this same tim We only have one full-tim to decrease offerings without hav Compare the representation of DI p administrators) to the representation	ne faculty and one part-time facul	s staffing (faculty, classified profess you serve. What do you notice? If the	sionals, and here is a gap in
gap? We have intersectionality diverse	Sign Language Instructors who	reflect multiple student identities.	
Technology ■ The technology in our program outcomes and goals. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree X Somewhat agree Strongly agree	n/area is sufficient to support studen	t learning and/or carry out our prog	ram/area

If you strongly disagree or somewhat disagree, please explain. (optional)

Fac •	The facilities The facilities in our program/area are sufficient to support student learning and/or carry out our program/area outcomes and goals. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Strongly agree
If y	you strongly disagree or somewhat disagree, please explain. (optional)
Pro	In general, Faculty members in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered by/at Chabot. Strongly disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Strongly agree
•	In general, Classified Professionals in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered by/at Chabot. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Somewhat agree X Strongly agree
•	In general, Faculty members in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered outside of Chabot. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree X Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Strongly agree
•	In general, Classified Professionals in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered outside of Chabot. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree X_ Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Somewhat agree Strongly agree
•	How did these professional development experiences contribute to improving your program/area, equity, and/or student learning and achievement? There have been very few professional learning opportunities available to SL faculty during Covid. This is an area for targeted improvement.

Program Maps and Equity in Scheduling

The data in this section is intended to support the further development of Guided Pathways at Chabot. Respondents' answers will be given to the Guided Pathways Steering Committee for analysis.

• Turning in Program Maps: A first draft of your Program Map for each credit degree and certificate offered within your discipline was due in May. If you already submitted all Program Maps and have no required changes or new program modifications, then you're done for now! If you did not turn in all program maps or changes are required or you have new program modifications, then please submit these Program Maps by October 11th, 2021. You can submit your Program Map(s) by following these steps: 1) go to this template in Google Docs,* 2) click on "file," 3) choose "make a copy", 4) click on "share with the same people," 5) rename it for the degree/certificate that you are creating and 6) update the file to your program map. Then it will automatically be stored in the folder for submitting it to Guided Pathways.

*There appears to be a current bug in Microsoft Products that does not allow links to google docs to automatically open (for some people). If you cannot open the link above, try manually copy pasting the address into a browser window. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1zU4G Kps1CNYmR8ZOczX8RergfkJLPpU XU3KfQC86s/edit

	in positive desired and the control of the control
• Have you	a completed all program maps for your discipline?
	or we will do so by the deadline).
	because one or more of our program(s) is/are being discontinued (please fill in name of program in space
below).	
offered r	because one or more of our program(s) cannot currently be completed because not all classes have been ecently or will be offered in the next 3 years (please fill in name of program in space below). for another reason (please fill in the reason below).
If you check	ed off "No" above, please explain.
program: to ensure	ident who is working toward the degree(s)/certificate(s) in your area take all their required courses for this (1) during the day or 2) in the late afternoon/evening/weekend or 3) online? What changes would be needed access for students in all three scenarios? Yes, Yes, Yes
conflicting fashion?	you collaborating with other disciplines with whom you share students to ensure that your schedules are noting, so that students with specific educational goals can take the courses they need to finish in a timely Please discuss the discipline(s) with whom you already collaborate, as well as any discipline(s) with whom ld like to start collaborating.
Are there your deg commun	e any classes in your discipline that you do not offer every semester or every year that are required for any or rees or programs? In an <i>ideal</i> world, with perfect coordination and infrastructure, how would you want to icate which required courses are not offered in all semesters to: 1) counselors, 2) other faculty, and 3)? (If you offer all classes required for degrees/certificates in all semesters, then you can write NA.)

Planning

Program/Area Goals: Please reflect on: 1) all the data you have reviewed, 2) the questions you have answered in this comprehensive PAR template, and 3) the various college planning documents (e.g., shorter term planning documents like

the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u> (PRAC will post when complete), <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>, and <u>Strategic Plan</u>, all of which lead into the long-range planning document, the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>). Utilize your reflections, along with college planning documents, to develop 1-3 Goals to work on up through the next comprehensive-year PAR cycle. What are the anticipated *outputs** and *outcomes*** of your goals? How do your goals align with the <u>Educational Master Plan (EMP)</u>? Do your goals support the success of any DI Groups? Do your goals support any of the Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF)*** metrics?

- *outputs: direct short-term results like # of students served, workshops held, etc.
- **outcomes: longer-term results like course success rates or degrees earned
- ***The Student Centered Funding Formula is the way all CA CC districts will be funded once the "hold harmless" period of funding expires.

Remember: Whereas SAOs/PLOs tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service/program, the goals for a comprehensive PAR year are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs/PLOs. For example, one of the Learning Connection's SAOs is: "Students from diverse backgrounds and with diverse learning needs will receive tutoring that improves their abilities to complete assignments, study, and/or succeed in their courses." This is an enduring aim that is unlikely to change from PAR cycle to PAR cycle. A PAR goal for the Learning Connection might be to refine the student diversity and antiracist tutoring practices training for incoming tutors. This goal is specific, expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and supports their SAO (if incoming tutors are trained in antiracist tutoring practices, they will be well-equipped to support students with diverse learning needs).

Goal	Briefly describe the expected <i>outputs</i> (e.g., direct short-term results like # of students served, workshops held, etc) or <i>outcomes</i> (e.g., longer-term results like course success rates or degrees earned) for your goal.	EMP Alignment	Equity DI Group Alignment	SCFF Metric Alignment
Professional development for faculty and tutorial staff in a)culturally responsive online teaching b)equity-driven grading c)(for non-deaf faculty and staff) ongoing PD on deaf culture and introductions to Sign Language	 Decrease gaps in equity Increase throughput across demographics Improve campus culture, especially for deaf colleagues and students 	X Equity X Access X Pedagogy and Praxis X Academic and Career Success Community and Partnerships	X African American/Black X Latinx X Native American/Alaska Native X Pacific Islander/Hawaiian X Disabled X Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	X Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement Degree or certificate completion Transfer CTE Units Attainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other
2. Integrate SL with larger campus initiatives:	 increased number of students served 	X Equity Access Pedagogy and Praxis	African American/Black X_ African American/Black X_ Latinx	Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement X Degree or certificate completion

gateway to college, tutorial services, FYE, language lab, guided pathways	• integration of SL and deaf culture into larger campus community	X Academic and Career Success X Community and Partnerships	X Native American/Alaska Native X Pacific Islander/Hawaiian X Disabled X Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	X_ Transfer CTE Units Attainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other
3. Increase number of of students who move beyond the first level course	 Increased number of students served increased likelihood of creating certificate and/or degree 	X Equity X Access X Pedagogy and Praxis X Academic and Career Success Community and Partnerships	African American/Black X_African American/Black X_Latinx X_Native American/Alaska Native X_Pacific Islander/Hawaiian X_Disabled X_Foster YouthLGBTDI GenderOther	Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement Degree or certificate completion Transfer CTE Units Attainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other

Resource Requests

Contracts and Services Requests: Contracts and Services include things like equipment maintenance contracts, food vendors, external consultants or speakers. Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Vendor Name	Brief Job Description/Tasks	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Length of Contract in Months (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			NewUpdatedRepeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Equipment Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Vendor Name	Brief Item Description	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Facilities Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the start to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Item Description	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Human Resource Requests (e.g., Faculty, Classified, Administrative, Student Workers, etc.)

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Classification	Position Title	Avg. hours per week (5, 20, 40, etc.)	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
--	---	--	--	----------------	-------------------	---	--	-------------------	---

Position 1	2	Full Time Sign Language Faculty	X New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other	Arturo is currently a one-person-program. If we wish to grow our Sign Language Offerings by adding a certificate or degree, we will need another full-time faculty to help with program coordination.	<u>X</u> Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	FT Salary + Benefits
Position 2	1	Full Time, In- House Sign Language Interpreter(s)	X NewUpdatedRepeat	Admin FT X Classified FTClassified HourlyClassified PTFaculty FTFaculty PTFaculty F-hourFaculty ReassignStudent Hourly X_Other	Contracting with outside agencies for interpreters has been inconsistent and inappropriate for a college setting. We would like to hire an in-house interpreter that is a member of our community to support our growing population of deaf students and faculty.	X Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	FT Classified Salary + Benefits
Position 3			New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

- The Faculty Prioritization Committee requires a completed <u>Faculty Prioritization Form</u> if you are requesting a full-time faculty position. There will be a spot on Qualtrics to upload this completed form. In the meantime, please just submit the completed form to your dean/manager when you turn in this template on 10/11/21.
- The Classified Prioritization Committee requires a completed <u>Classified Professional Prioritization Form</u>. There will be a spot on Qualtrics to upload this completed form. In the meantime, please just submit the completed form to your dean/manager when you turn in this template on 10/11/21.

Professional Development, Travel, and Conferences

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Description (1-2 sentences)	What Type of PD Request?	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Number of Attendees (1, 5, 10, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Request 1	1	Conference Attendance	X New Updated Repeat	Faculty will be attending a conference aimed at increasing equity and success in SL courses, with a specific focus on online teaching strategies.	X In-person conference with travel Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other	DEIA Pedagogies, Enrollment, Retention Strategies	2	<u>X</u> Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	5k

Request 2	— New — Updated — Repeat	In-person conference with travel Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25
Request 3	New Updated Repeat	In-person conference with travelOnline conference/webinarOn-Campus TrainingOn-Campus SpeakerOther	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25

Supplies Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

^{*}Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Item Description (1-2 sentences)	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)	
--	---	---	--	--	--	-------------------------------------	-------------------	---	--

Item 1		New Updated Repeat		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2		New Updated Repeat		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3		New Updated Repeat		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Technology Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Was the feasibility of the request discussed with IT?	Brief Item Description (1-2 sentences)	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc)	Year(s) Needed	Estimate d Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat	Yes No				Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated	Yes No				Annual2022-23	

^{*}Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Repeat			2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3	New Updated Repeat	Yes No		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Categorical Funding Applications:

The **Student Access Success and Equity (SASE) committee** "develops, leads, and supports campus initiatives that strengthen student access, success, and equity." SASE "provides a platform for collaboration and communication across the college that will result in the coordination of basic skills, student access, success, and equity efforts campus-wide." If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out their application for funding here:

https://forms.gle/ZXC65S6NscLMCz8G7

Please note that SASE may request additional information after you submit the application. Please contact the SASE committee with any questions: Administrative Tri-Chair Gabe Chaparro chabotcollege.edu.

Career Education funds are available for projects that: 1) support a program with a CTE TOP code or 2) continue a current project in SWP or 3) are eligible for Perkins Grants, or 4) meet other criteria such as core indicators and labor market demand. If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out the CE application for funding here:

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSelkdNpRXzCbxnmVGHO7t3gC2K3eZfs nXrOaLloFxlT1xbqw/viewform?usp=sf link

- Please submit one form per project/TOP code, keeping in mind that funding for multiple projects per area is limited.
- If you are not sure whether you have a program that qualifies for CE funding, please reach out to Christina Read <u>cread@chabotcollege.edu</u>.

If you have any other questions about the CE funding process, please contact the Career Education Committee Tri-Chairs: faculty chair Connie Telles ctelles@chabotcollege.edu, admin chair Christina Read cread@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu, admin chair Christina Read cread@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu.